

The External Evaluation Report of a Doctoral Study Domain

Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Methods used
- III. Analysis of performance indicators
- IV. SWOT Analysis
- V. Overview of judgments awarded and of the recommendations
- VI. Conclusions and general recommendations
- VII. Annexes

I. Introduction¹

In this chapter, the following shall be summarized:

- the context in which this external evaluation report was drafted (**the type of evaluation, the period of the evaluation visit, the composition of the Experts Committee** etc.);
- details about the doctoral school(s) of which the doctoral domain under review is part (number of doctoral advisors, number of students, institutional context, short history etc.);
- details about the doctoral study domain under review (number of students, institutional context, short history etc.).

We have been working via Zoom from November 22 to November 26. Biology domain was evaluated by Professors Diana Cupsa and Volodymyr Lushchak with the student Larisa Petrila.

The Department of Natural Sciences was founded 10 years ago. Director: Conf. univ. dr. Cristina Soare. Currently the Department coordinates four bachelor's degree programs (Biology, Chemistry, Ecology, Environmental Protection and the Medical Chemistry as well as four 4 master's degree programs (Medical Biology, Monitoring and Protection environment, Interdisciplinary applications in natural sciences, Applications of biotechnologies in horticulture and environmental protection. From the academic year 2014-2015, the department also coordinates a professional conversion program in the field of Biology. The Biology bachelor's degree programs has a longer tradition in Pitești, it started from 1962 as a part of the Pedagogic Institute.

The Department of Natural Sciences was initially composed of 26 full-time teachers, professors, lecturers, lecturers and university assistants, with the scientific title of doctor or doctoral students, specialists in the fields of license mentioned or related to them. The didactic and scientific activity of the department takes place mainly in the S body of the University of Pitești, in the didactic and research laboratories, in the seminar rooms and the amphitheatres inside.

We communicated with two Ph.D. students 1st and 3rd year ones. We had information in CV form about two supervisors of the biology domain: Prof. Ph.D. Habil. MARIAN PETRE and Prof. Ph.D. emeritus MARINESCU ALEXANDRU GABRIEL.

In the latest years the number of doctoral students varied between 9 and 15.

¹ Each time when applicable the information shall be presented gender-wise.



II. Methods used

This chapter will contain the methods and tools used in the external evaluation process, before and during the evaluation visit, including at least:

- The analysis of the internal evaluation report of the doctoral study domain under review and its Annexes;

- The analysis of documents made available by the IOSUD, in physical format, during the evaluation visit (if such documents have been requested);

- The analysis of documents, data and information available on the IOSUD/Doctoral School(s) website, in electronic format;

- Visiting the buildings included in the institution's property, comprising (indicative and non-exhaustive list, which shall be changed according to the context):

- classrooms;
- laboratories;
- the institution's library;
- research centers;
- the Career Counselling and Guidance Center;
- lecture halls for students;
- the student residences;
- the student cafeteria;
- sports ground etc.;

- Meeting/discussions with doctoral students in the doctoral study domain under review;

- Meeting/Discussions with the graduates of the doctoral study domain under review;

- Meeting/Discussions with employers of the graduates in the doctoral study domain under review;

- Meeting/Discussions with the school officials of the Doctoral School(s) in which the doctoral study domain under review is operating;

- Meeting/Discussions with the doctoral advisors in the doctoral study domain under review;

- Meeting/discussions with the representatives of the various structures of the IOSUD/Doctoral School(s) in which the doctoral study domain under review is operating:

- The Council of the Doctoral School, the University Senate, the Board of Directors, the Quality Assessment and Assurance Commission, the Quality Assurance Department, the Ethics Commission (including with the student representatives of these structures);
- the Career Counselling and Guidance Center;
- student organizations;
- secretariats;
- various departments/administrative offices (Social/Student residences-Cafeterias etc.);

- Application of questionnaires to doctoral students or academic staff in the doctoral study domain under review.

The evaluation group read the content of the report, asked for other supporting documents, and gathered informations from the website. Moreover, Prof. Cupsa was on the site visit and make photos with the facilities and sent them me. I was really shocked by the excellent facilities demonstrated us! As I was informed, the facilities were received mainly the last two years with money from EU. We have respected the Aracis requirements in the report elaboration.

III. Analysis of ARACIS's performance indicators

Domain A. INSTITUTIONAL CAPACITY

The University is functioning according to the national legislation it fulfill all the requirements necessary to provide a quality doctoral training.

Criterion A.1. The administrative, managerial institutional structures and the financial resources

The University has the necessary administrative and managerial structure to ensure an efficient activity. Financial resources are allocated to support PhD students and develop the research facilities.

Standard A.1.1. The institution organizing doctoral studies (IOSUD) has implemented the effective functioning mechanisms provided for in the specific legislation on the organization of doctoral studies.

The institution has implemented all the necessary regulations and methodologies for an efficient organization of doctoral studies.

Performance Indicator A.1.1.1. *The existence of specific regulations and their application at the level of the Doctoral School of the respective university doctoral study domain:*

(a) *the internal regulations of the Doctoral School;*

The Regulation for the organization and development of doctoral study programmes within IOSUD University of Pitești.

(b) *the Methodology for conducting elections for the position of director of the Council of doctoral school (CSD), as well as elections by the students of their representative in CSD and the evidence of their conduct;*

Methodology for conducting the competition for the position of director of the Council for Doctoral Studies.

(c) *the Methodologies for organizing and conducting doctoral studies (for the admission of doctoral students, for the completion of doctoral studies);*

Methodology for conducting the competition for the position of doctoral students.

(d) *the existence of mechanisms for recognizing the status of a Doctoral advisor and the equivalence of the doctoral degree obtained abroad;*

Annex II.A.1.1.1.14 Procedure concerning the automat recognition within UPIT of the diploma and doctor title obtained in other countries 2019 Annex II.A.1.1.1.15 Operational Procedure concerning the automat recognition within UPIT of the quality of doctoral supervisor obtained in other countries

(e) *functional management structures (Council of the doctoral school), giving as well proof of the regularity of meetings;*

The Council of the Interdisciplinary Doctoral School, resulting from the elections of April 23, 2021 and approved in the CSUD meeting of April 29, 2014 (Annex II.A.1.1.1.16 Minutes of approval of the CSD in CSUD) had a series of meetings, especially on Skype, both in its restricted form and having as guests the field managers within the school. The meetings took place on: 5 July 2021, 7 July 2021, 8 July 2021, 15 July 2021, 19 July 2021, 11 August 2021, 20 August 2021, 26 August 2021, 27 August 2021 (Annex II.A.1.1.1.17 CSD-SDI meetings).

f) the contract for doctoral studies;

Annex II.A.1.1.1.18 Contract for doctoral studies 2020-2021. Annex II.A.1.1.1.19 Annexes to the study contract.

g) internal procedures for the analysis and approval of proposals regarding the training for doctoral study programs based on advanced academic studies.

The topics of the doctoral study programs are established through direct discussions and consultations with the members of the Doctoral School Council, taking into account the Internal Plan for Research-Development-Innovation. The doctoral student proposes the topic together with the scientific director, the Doctoral School Council analyzes it and, together with the scientific director, finalizes the topic that is included in the study contract. The advanced training program and the structure of the associated scientific research program are proposed by the scientific director and the doctoral student and are approved by the Doctoral School Council.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

Generally the indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator A.1.1.2. The doctoral school' Regulation includes mandatory criteria, procedures and standards binding on the aspects specified in Article 17, paragraph (5) of the Government Decision No. 681/2011 on the approval of the Code of Doctoral Studies with subsequent amendments and additions.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The regulation includes: the acceptance of new supervisors, the mechanisms of membership withdrawal of doctoral school, the decision mechanisms concerning the opportunity, structure and content of the doctoral program, procedures for changing the supervisor, procedures concerning mediation of the conflicts, the conditions for program interruption, modalities for fraud prevention including plagiarism, access to the research facilities, frequency obligations of the doctoral students.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

Generally the indicator is fulfilled.

Standard A.1.2. The IOSUD has the logistical resources necessary to carry out the doctoral studies' mission.

*general description of the standard analysis.

Performance Indicator A.1.2.1. The existence and effectiveness of an appropriate IT system to keep track of doctoral students and their academic background.



- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The track of the doctoral students and their academic background is kept by a simplified informatic system. The students cannot access this to see their qualificatives.

Recommendations: implementation of the same tracking system which is used for BSc and MSc students.

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

Generally the indicator is partially fulfilled.

Performance Indicator A.1.2.2. The existence and use of an appropriate software program and evidence of its use to verify the percentage of similarity in all doctoral theses.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The program exists: SEMPLAG: It is accessible for the supervisor to verify the thesis.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Standard A.1.3. The IOSUD makes sure that financial resources are used optimally, and the revenues obtained from doctoral studies are supplemented through additional funding besides governmental funding.

The governmental grants are completed with funds from the university to support the doctoral studies.

Performance Indicator A.1.3.1. Existence of at least one research or institutional / human resources development grant under implementation at the time of submission of the internal evaluation file, per doctoral study domain under evaluation, or existence of at least 2 research or institutional development / human resources grant for the doctoral study domain, obtained by doctoral thesis advisors operating in the evaluated domain within the past 5 years. The grants address relevant themes for the respective domain and, as a rule, are engaging doctoral students.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

In the evaluated period at the Biology domain 3 grants were implemented. These were obtained by competition and managed by the supervisors. In two of the grants 4 PhD students were involved.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator *A.1.3.2. *The percentage of doctoral students active at the time of the evaluation, who for at least six months receive additional funding sources besides government funding, through scholarships awarded by individual persons or by legal entities, or who are financially supported through research or institutional / human resources development grants is not less than 20%.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

PhD students were involved in the grants but they did not receive scholarships.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is not fulfilled.

Performance Indicator *A.1.3.3.² *At least 10% of the total amount of doctoral grants obtained by the university through institutional contracts and of tuition fees collected from the doctoral students enrolled in the paid tuition system is used to reimburse professional training expenses of doctoral students (attending conferences, summer schools, training, programs abroad, publication of specialty papers or other specific forms of dissemination etc.).*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

In 2017-2020 period 33.274 lei were spent for ISI article publications, purchase of apparatus and reagents for doctoral researches. The income of the doctoral domain in the period was: 550.300 lei. So the amount was 6,05%, below of the 10% required.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is not fulfilled.

Criterion A.2. Research infrastructure

**general description of the criterion analysis.*

Standard A.2.1. *The IOSUD has a modern research infrastructure to support the conduct of doctoral studies' specific activities.*

**general description of the standard analysis.*

There is an excellent infrastructure!!! With number of new great tools which are clearly undersused.

² The indicators marked with an asterisk (*) hold a special status, referring exclusively to the evaluation of doctoral studies domains, as per Article 12 from the annex No.1 of the Order of the minister of education No. 3651/12.04.2021 approving the Methodology for evaluating university doctoral studies and the system of criteria, standards and performance indicators used in the evaluation. In case they are not met, the Agency extends a period of maximum 3 years to IOSUD to correct the respective deficiencies.

Performance Indicator A.2.1.1. *The venues and the material equipment available to the doctoral school enable the research activities in the evaluated domain to be carried out, in line with the assumed mission and objectives (computers, specific software, equipment, laboratory equipment, library, access to international databases etc.). The research infrastructure and the provision of research services are presented to the public through a specific platform. The research infrastructure described above, which was purchased and developed within the past 5 years will be presented distinctly.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

There are computers, specific software, equipment, laboratory equipment, library, access to international databases like Scopus and Web of Science.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled – ABSOLUTELY!!!

Criterion A.3. Quality of Human Resources

**general description of the criterion analysis.*

Standard A.3.1. *At the level of each domain there are sufficient qualified staff to ensure the conduct of doctoral study program.*

There are only two doctoral supervisors. Between the guidance committee members there are several persons which in the future will be able to defend their habilitation thesis.

Performance Indicator A.3.1.1. *Minimum three doctoral thesis advisors within that doctoral domain, and at least 50% of them (but no less than three) meet the minimum standards of the National Council for Attestation of University Degrees, Diplomas and Certificates (CNATDCU) in force at the time when the evaluation is carried out, which standards are required and mandatory for obtaining the enabling certification.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

We have CVs of two Ph.D. student supervisors: Profs Marian Petre and Marinescu Alexandru Gabriel. It seems to me that Human Resources is the most weak place in the evaluated domain. From the discussion with UPIT administration I heard that biology is a "difficult" domain. Yes, I agree mainly but because of its importance for country generally, for healthcare system and for economics, this domain has to be supported more efficiently by the administration of the university.

Recommendations: hiring at least another doctoral thesis advisor.

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is not fulfilled.

Performance Indicator *A.3.1.2. *At least 50% of all doctoral advisors have a full-time employment contract for an indefinite period with the IOSUD.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is partially fulfilled there are not at least 3 supervisors. There are just two: Prof. PhD. Habil. Marian PETRE is a tenured professor at the University of Pitești, employed with a permanent contract. Prof. PhD. Alexandru Marinescu is a retired professor.

Performance Indicator A.3.1.3. The study subjects in the education program based on advanced higher education studies pertaining to the doctoral domain are taught by teaching staff or researchers who are doctoral thesis advisors / certified doctoral thesis advisors, professors / CS I or lecturer / CS II, with proved expertise in the field of the study subjects they teach, or other specialists in the field who meet the standards established by the institution in relation with the aforementioned teaching and research functions, as provided by the law.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The study subjects in the education program based on advanced higher education studies pertaining to the doctoral domain are taught by teaching staff with proved expertise in the field of the study subjects they teach. The teaching staff involved consist from: one professor, one retired professor and four associate professors.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator *A.3.1.4. The percentage of doctoral thesis advisors who concomitantly coordinate more than 8 doctoral students, but no more than 12, who are themselves studying in doctoral programs³ does not exceed 20%.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

One of the supervisors coordinate 3 students and the other 8 students.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Standard A.3.2. The Doctoral advisors within the domain are carrying out a scientific activity visible at international level.

³ 3 years for the doctoral university studies with the duration stipulated at Article 159, paragraph (3), respectively 4 years for the doctoral university studies with the duration stipulated at Article 174, paragraph (3) of the Law of national education No.1/2011 with subsequent amendments and additions, with additional extension periods approved as per Article 39, paragraph (3) of the Code of doctoral studies approved by the GD No. 681/2011 with subsequent amendments and additions.



**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator A.3.2.1. At least 50% of the doctoral thesis advisors in the evaluated domain have at least 5 Web of Science- or ERIH-indexed publications in magazines of impact, or other achievements of relevant significance for that domain, including international-level contributions that indicate progress in scientific research - development - innovation for the evaluated domain. The aforementioned doctoral thesis advisors enjoy international awareness within the past five years, consisting of: membership on scientific boards of international publications and conferences; membership on boards of international professional associations; guests in conferences or expert groups working abroad, or membership on doctoral defense commissions at universities abroad or co-leading with universities abroad. For Arts and Sports and Physical Education Sciences, doctoral thesis advisors shall prove their international visibility within the past five years by their membership on the boards of professional associations, membership in organizing committees of arts events and international competitions, membership on juries or umpire teams in artistic events or international competitions.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

There some good publications from the dept. Especially last years several papers were published in the journals with IF > 5. However, I was not able to find in Scopus database (I have no good access to WoS) publications of Prof. PhD. Habil. Marian PETRE, whereas there 5 publicatons from Prof. PhD. Alexandru Marinescu. Importantly, Professor Petre has several patents in the last 5 years.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator *A.3.2.2. At least 50% of the doctoral thesis advisors in a specific doctoral study domain continue to be active in their scientific field, and acquire at least 25% of the score requested by the minimal CNATDCU standards in force at the time of the evaluation, which are required and mandatory for acquiring their enabling certificate, based on their scientific results within the past five years.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

Recommendations:

Only one of the advisors acquire at least 25% of the score requested by the minimal CNATDCU standards based on their scientific results within the past five years. It reprezents 50%, but it is only one person.

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

Partially fulfilled.

Domain B. EDUCATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

**general description of domain analysis.*



Criterion B.1. The number, quality and diversity of candidates enrolled for the admission contest

**general description of the criterion analysis.*

Standard B.1.1. The institution organizing doctoral studies has the capacity to attract candidates from outside the higher education institution or a number of candidates exceeding the number of seats available.

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator *B.1.1.1. *The ratio between the number of graduates of masters' programs of other higher education institutions, national or foreign, who have enrolled for the doctoral admission contest within the past five years and the number of seats funded by the state budget, put out through contest within the doctoral domain is at least 0.2 or the ratio between the number of candidates within the past five years and the number of seats funded by the state budget put out through contest within the doctoral studies domain is at least 1,2.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The ratio between the number of graduates of masters' programs of other higher education institutions (5), who have enrolled for the doctoral admission contest within the past five years and the number of seats funded by the state budget (5) it is 1.

The ratio between the number of candidates (15) and the number of seats funded by the state budget (5) is 3.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Standard B.1.2 Candidates admitted to doctoral studies demonstrate academic, research and professional performance.

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator *B.1.2.1. *Admission to doctoral study programs is based on selection criteria including: previous academic, research and professional performance, their interest for scientific or arts/sports research, publications in the domain and a proposal for a research subject. Interviewing the candidate is compulsory, as part of the admission procedure.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The admission to doctoral study programs is based on selection criteria including: previous academic, research and professional performance: their performances are evaluated by an oral presentation of their research interests, of the bibliographical study and the direction of thesis. During admission the candidates are appreciated depending on their knowledge in the domain, the capacity of highlight the major directions of the research and their capacity to formulate solutions for a research theme.

For candidates hierarchical classification in the situation of the same admittance result there are used three criteria.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator B.1.2.2. The expelling rate, including renouncement / dropping out of doctoral students 3, respectively 4, years after admission⁴ does not exceed 30%.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

Within the Biology domain there were 6 expelled doctoral students or who dropped out their doctoral studies. Therefore, the required ratio is 32%.

Recommendations: a better financial support of the students may reduce the renouncement ratio.

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is not fulfilled.

Criterion B.2. The content of doctoral programs

**general description of the criterion analysis.*

Standard B.2.1. The training program based on advanced university studies is appropriate to improve doctoral students' research skills and to strengthen ethical behavior in science.

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator B.2.1.1. The training program based on advanced academic studies includes at least 3 disciplines relevant to the scientific research training of doctoral students; at least one of these disciplines is intended to study in-depth the research methodology and/or the statistical data processing.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The training program based on advanced academic studies includes 4 disciplines relevant to the scientific research training of doctoral students; at least one of these disciplines is intended to study in-depth the research methodology and the statistical data processing.

The training program based on advanced academic is realised in the first semester after a curricula agreed by all supervisors and approved by CSD and CSUD. The research program extends in the rest of the doctoral program - with a total length of 3 years, with the possibility to extend with 2 years.

Recommendations:

⁴ 3 years for the doctoral university studies with the duration stipulated at Article 159, paragraph (3), respectively 4 years for the doctoral university studies with the duration stipulated at Article 174, paragraph (3) of the Law of national education No. 1/2011 with subsequent amendments and additions.

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator B.2.1.2. *At least one discipline is dedicated to Ethics and Intellectual Property in scientific research or there are well-defined topics on these subjects within a discipline taught in the doctoral program.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

One discipline is dedicated to Ethics and Intellectual Property. The discipline is titled University Ethics.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator B.2.1.3. *The IOSUD has mechanisms to ensure that the academic training program based on advanced university studies addresses „the learning outcomes”, specifying the knowledge, skills, responsibility and autonomy that doctoral students should acquire after completing each discipline or through the research activities⁵.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

There are implemented mechanisms to ensure that the academic training program based on advanced university studies addresses "the learning outcomes", specifying the knowledge, skills, responsibility and autonomy that doctoral students should acquire after completing each discipline. The Syllabus for each discipline has defined the specifying the knowledge, skills, responsibility and autonomy that doctoral students should acquire. There is a Quality Management Committee at the level of the University which ensure the internal and external evaluations of the study programs.

After completing the academic training program based on advanced university studies the students have to present 3 progress reports on their researches. All these reports have a written form and have to be presented orally.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator B.2.1.4. *All along the duration of the doctoral training, doctoral students in the domain receive counselling/guidance from functional guidance commissions, which is reflected in written guidance and feedback or regular meeting.*

⁵ Or by what the graduate should know, understand and to be able to do, according to the provisions of the Methodology of 17 March 2017 regarding inscription and registration of higher education qualifications in the National Register of Qualifications in Higher Education (RNCIS) approved by the Order No.3475/2017 with subsequent amendments and additions.



- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The PhD students benefit from the permanent conciliation of the guidance commission made up by the supervisor and other 3 specialists with Ph.D. The commission have at least 4 meetings during the training program when the students present their reports and they defend their thesis. The progress reports have to be approved by all members of the commission.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator B.2.1.5. For a doctoral study domain, the ratio between the number of doctoral students and the number of teaching staff/researchers providing doctoral guidance must not exceed 3:1.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

For Biology doctoral study domain, the ratio between the number of doctoral students and the number of teaching staff/researchers providing doctoral guidance is 15:8=1,86.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Criterion B.3. The results of doctoral studies and procedures for their evaluation.

**general description of the criterion analysis.*

Standard B.3.1. Doctoral students capitalize on the research through presentations at scientific conferences, scientific publications, technological transfer, patents, products and service orders.

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator B.3.1.1. For the evaluated domain, the evaluation commission will be provided with at least one paper or some other relevant contribution per doctoral student who has obtained a doctor's title within the past 5 years. From this list, the members of the evaluation commission shall randomly select 5 such papers / relevant contributions per doctoral study domain for review. At least 3 selected papers must contain significant original contributions in the respective domain.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself



In the last 5 years 3 candidates defended their thesis. According to the doctoral school rules, each have to publish at least one paper. The published papers are presented in (Anexa I.1.6.2). The papers provide significant original contributions in the domain.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator *B.3.1.2. *The ratio between the number of presentations of doctoral students who completed their doctoral studies within the evaluated period (past 5 years), including posters, exhibitions made at prestigious international events (organized in the country or abroad) and the number of doctoral students who have completed their doctoral studies within the evaluated period (past 5 years) is at least 1.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

In the last 5 years 3 students completed their doctoral studies. They presented papers at 9 international events (Anexei II.C.3.1.1.4), so the ratio between the number of presentations and the number of students who completed their doctoral studies is 3.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Standard B.3.2. *The Doctoral School engages a significant number of external scientific specialists in the commissions for public defense of doctoral theses in the analyzed domain.*

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator *B.3.2.1. *The number of doctoral theses allocated to one specialist coming from a higher education institution, other than the evaluated IOSUD should not exceed two (2) in a year for the theses coordinated by the same doctoral thesis advisor.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The number of doctoral theses allocated to one specialist coming from a higher education institution do not exceed two (2) in a year for the theses coordinated by the same doctoral thesis advisor. In the evaluated period 3 thesis were defended.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator *B.3.2.2. *The ratio between the doctoral theses allocated to one scientific specialist coming from a higher education institution, other than the institution where the defense on the doctoral thesis is organized, and the number of doctoral theses presented in the same doctoral study domain in the doctoral school should not exceed 0.3, considering the past five years. Only those doctoral study domains in which minimum ten doctoral theses have been presented within the past five years should be analyzed.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

Only 3 theses have been presented within the past five years.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

Not applicable.

Domain C. QUALITY MANAGEMENT

**general description of domain analysis.*

Criterion C.1. Existence and periodic implementation of the internal quality assurance system

**general description of the criterion analysis.*

Standard C.1.1. *There are an institutional framework and procedures in place and relevant internal quality assurance policies, applied for monitoring the internal quality assurance.*

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator C.1.1.1. *The Doctoral school in the respective university study domain shall demonstrate the continuous development of the evaluation process and its internal quality assurance following a procedure developed and applied at the level of the IOSUD, the following assessed criteria being mandatory:*

(a) *the scientific work of Doctoral advisors;*

(b) *the infrastructure and logistics necessary to carry out the research activity;*

(c) *the procedures and subsequent rules based on which doctoral studies are organized;*

(d) *the scientific activity of doctoral students;*

(e) *the training program based on advanced academic studies of doctoral students;*

(f) *social and academic services (including for participation at different events, publishing papers etc.) and counselling made available to doctoral students.*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself



There is a methodology of assessing the training programmes, assessing the quality of the supervisors, content of the curricula, doctoral students, content and results of the research, etc. The infrastructure is good, VERY GOOD, but there is a critical problem with amount of full professors.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator *C.1.1.2. Mechanisms are implemented during the stage of the doctoral study program to enable feedback from doctoral students allowing to identify their needs, as well as their overall level of satisfaction with the doctoral study program in order to ensure continuous improvement of the academic and administrative processes. Following the analysis of the results, there is evidence that an action plan was drafted and implemented.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

A questionnaire is used to obtain feedback from doctoral students allowing to identify their needs, as well as their overall level of satisfaction with the doctoral study program in order to ensure continuous improvement of the academic and administrative processes.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Criterion C.2. Transparency of information and accessibility of learning resources

**general description of the criterion analysis.*

Standard C.2.1. Information of interest to doctoral students, future candidates and public interest information is available for electronic format consultation.

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator C.2.1.1. The IOSUD publishes on the website of the organizing institution, in compliance with the general regulations on data protection, information such as:

- (a) the Doctoral School regulation;
- (b) the admission regulation;
- (c) the doctoral studies contract;
- (d) the study completion regulation including the procedure for the public presentation of the thesis;
- (e) the content of training program based on advanced academic studies;
- (f) the academic and scientific profile, thematic areas/research themes of the Doctoral advisors within the domain, as well as their institutional contact data;
- (g) the list of doctoral students within the domain with necessary information (year of registration; advisor);
- (h) information on the standards for developing the doctoral thesis;
- (i) links to the doctoral theses' summaries to be publicly presented and the date, time, place where they will be presented; this information will be communicated at least twenty days before the presentation.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Standard C.2.2. The IOSUD/The Doctoral School provides doctoral students with access to the resources needed for conducting doctoral studies.

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator C.2.2.1. All doctoral students have free access to one platform providing academic databases relevant to the doctoral studies domain of their thesis.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The platforms with free acces from th university are: Academic Search Ultimate, Applied Science and Technology Source Ultimate, Business Source Ultimate, CINAHL Complete, Dentistry & Oral Sciences Source, DynaMed Plus, Humanities Source Ultimate, MEDLINE Complete, Sociology Source Ultimate. There is a digital library http://cat-biblioteca.upit.ro/bibl/Pagina%20WEB/Site_nou/DigLib.htm, acces to digitalised titles http://tinread.upit.ro/opac/public_library_lists, on line catalog <http://biblioteca.upit.ro> and <http://tinread.upit.ro/opac>.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator C.2.2.2. Each doctoral student shall have access, upon request, to an electronic system for verifying the degree of similarity with other existing scientific or artistic works.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

The supervisors have acces to an electronic system for verifying the degree of similarity of the thesis with other existing scientific works.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Performance Indicator C.2.2.3. All doctoral students have access to scientific research laboratories or other facilities depending on the specific domain/domains within the Doctoral School, according to internal order procedures.



- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

All PhD students have access to scientific research laboratories or other facilities of the university. The access is reglamented by specific methodologies of the university and doctoral school.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled.

Criterion C.3. Internationalization

**general description of the criterion analysis.*

Standard C.3.1. There is a strategy in place and it is applied to enhance the internationalization of doctoral studies.

**general description of the standard analysis.*

Performance Indicator *C.3.1.1. IOSUD, for every evaluated domain, has concluded mobility agreements with universities abroad, with research institutes, with companies working in the field of study, aimed at the mobility of doctoral students and academic staff (e.g., ERASMUS agreements for the doctoral studies). At least 35% of the doctoral students have completed a training course abroad or other mobility forms such as attending international scientific conferences. IOSUD drafts and applies policies and measures aiming at increasing the number of doctoral students participating at mobility periods abroad, up to at least 20%, which is the target at the level of the European Higher Education Area.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

Two of the 16 PhD students have completed a training course abroad or other mobility forms such as attending international scientific conferences, representing 12,5% of the students in the evaluated period.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is not fulfilled.

Performance Indicator C.3.1.2. In the evaluated doctoral study domain, support is granted, including financial support, to the organization of doctoral studies in international co-tutelage or invitation of leading experts to deliver courses/lectures for doctoral students.

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

In the evaluated period there was not any doctoral studies in international co-tutelage or invitation of leading experts to deliver courses/lectures for doctoral students.

Recommendations:

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is not fulfilled.

Performance Indicator C.3.1.3. *The internationalization of activities carried out during the doctoral studies is supported by IOSUD through concrete measures (e.g., by participating in educational fairs to attract international doctoral students; by including international experts in guidance committees or doctoral committees etc.).*

- description of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

- analysis of the facts, the findings from the assessed institution's documents and the evaluation visit itself

A list of the students who participated to the International Francophone Doctoral School between 26-29th of September 2017 is presented in the report (Anexa II.C.3.1.3.1.).

Recommendations: More international collaboration is in need. Actually, I can say that if in need, we with colleagues at our department <https://kbb.pnu.edu.ua/en/> may invite some Ph. D. students to collaborate with us because we are very experienced in international collaboration.

The indicator is fulfilled/partially fulfilled/not fulfilled.

The indicator is fulfilled partially.

IV. SWOT Analysis

<p><u>Strengths:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -There is a team with good capability to run good science especially in co-operation with other institutions -There is really good modern equipment -There is some payment for tools, publication cost, travels, consumables and chemicals -Good collaboration with Romanian partners 	<p><u>Weaknesses:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Poor English training -Small amount of Ph.D. students -Poor website without English version -Not enough money for biology dept -Scientific directions are very different due to which it is complicated to get high level -Virtual absence of international collaboration -Low attractiveness of doctoral programmes for local administrations and business <p><u>Recommendations:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -improve English and co-operate at the dept to produce complex publications with involvement of internationally recognised partners -Develop directions of "cheap" investments with potential to publish in good journals -Intradepartment teaming
<p><u>Opportunities:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The needs of the region and Romania for biologically based knowledge, particularly for biomedicine, biotechnology, f.e., mushroom growing, horticulture 	<p><u>Threats:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Poor financing of the biological direction in Romania

-Good possibilities to attract Romanian and EU funding bodies -Attract good students from other universities -Attract money from the local industry	-Low interest of society and industry to new biology-based knowledge and innovative technologies -Low stability of Romanian economics under changeable conditions -Low attractiveness study programs for both candidates and the labor market
---	---

V. Overview of judgments awarded and of the recommendations

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI*, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
1.	PI	A.1.1.1. The existence of specific regulations and their application at the level of the Doctoral School of the respective university doctoral study domain: a) the internal regulations of the Doctoral School; b) the Methodology for conducting elections for the position of director of the Council of doctoral school (CSD), as well as elections by the students of their representative in CSD and the evidence of their conduct; c) the Methodologies for organizing and conducting doctoral studies (for the admission of doctoral students, for the completion of doctoral studies); d) the existence of mechanisms for recognizing the status of a Doctoral advisor and the equivalence of the doctoral degree obtained abroad; e) functional management structures (Council of the doctoral school), giving as well proof of the regularity of meetings; f) the contract for doctoral studies; g) internal procedures for the analysis and approval of proposals regarding the training for doctoral study programs based on advanced academic studies.	Fulfilled	Generally, the procedures are good – just follow them
2.	PI	A.1.1.2. The doctoral school' Regulation includes mandatory criteria, procedures and standards binding on the aspects specified in Article 17, paragraph (5) of the Government Decision No. 681/2011 on the approval of the	Fulfilled	Follow them

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI *, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
		Code of Doctoral Studies with subsequent amendments and additions.		
3.	PI	A.1.2.1. The existence and effectiveness of an appropriate IT system to keep track of doctoral students and their academic background.	Partially fulfilled	Implementation of the same tracking system which is used for BSc and MSc students.
4.	PI	A.1.2.2. The existence and use of an appropriate software program and evidence of its use to verify the percentage of similarity in all doctoral theses.	Fulfilled	Update them if in need
5.	IP	A.1.3.1. Existence of at least one research or institutional / human resources development grant under implementation at the time of submission of the internal evaluation file, per doctoral study domain under evaluation, or existence of at least 2 research or institutional development / human resources grant for the doctoral study domain, obtained by doctoral thesis advisors operating in the evaluated domain within the past 5 years. The grants address relevant themes for the respective domain and, as a rule, are engaging doctoral students.	Fulfilled	Increase number of grants
6.	PI *	A.1.3.2. The percentage of doctoral students active at the time of the evaluation, who for at least six months receive additional funding sources besides government funding, through scholarships awarded by individual persons or by legal entities, or who are financially supported through research or institutional / human resources development grants is not less than 20%.	Not fulfilled	Increase funding of students
7.	PI *	A.1.3.3. At least 10% of the total amount of doctoral grants obtained by the university through institutional contracts and of tuition fees collected from the doctoral students enrolled in the paid tuition system is used to reimburse professional training expenses of doctoral students (attending conferences, summer schools, training, programs abroad, publication of specialty papers or other specific forms of dissemination etc.).	Not fulfilled	Increase funding of the issue

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI *, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
8.	CPI	A.2.1.1. The venues and the material equipment available to the doctoral school enable the research activities in the evaluated domain to be carried out, in line with the assumed mission and objectives (computers, specific software, equipment, laboratory equipment, library, access to international databases etc.). The research infrastructure and the provision of research services are presented to the public through a specific platform. The research infrastructure described above, which was purchased and developed within the past 5 years will be presented distinctly	Fulfilled	Since the research infrastructure was mainly bought for the last two years, it is undersused. May be there is a reason to invite experts from another places to train the local stuff and demonstrate potential applications.
9.	CPI	A.3.1.1. Minimum three doctoral thesis advisors within that doctoral domain, and at least 50% of them (but no less than three) meet the minimum standards of the National Council for Attestation of University Degrees, Diplomas and Certificates (CNATDCU) in force at the time when the evaluation is carried out, which standards are required and mandatory for obtaining the enabling certification.	Not fulfilled	Stimulate potential candidates to pass habilitation procedure or invite habilitated professors from another places or even from abroad providing attractive competitive possibilities for scientific work, etc. It would be a good idea to teach some disciplines in English. At least at my dept in Ukraine https://kbb.pnu.edu.ua/en/ the latter very well increased the level of training of Ph. D. students, their understanding of literature and especially communicative skills.
10.	PI *	A.3.1.2. At least 50% of all doctoral advisors have a full-time employment contract for an indefinite period with the IOSUD.	Partially fulfilled	Work with the personnel as it is described above.
11.	PI	A.3.1.3. The study subjects in the education program based on advanced higher education studies pertaining to the doctoral domain are taught by teaching staff or researchers who are doctoral thesis advisors / certified doctoral thesis advisors, professors / CS I or lecturer / CS II, with proved expertise in the field of the study subjects they teach, or other specialists in the field who meet the standards established by the institution in relation with the aforementioned teaching and research functions, as provided by the law.	Fulfilled	Increase number of highly qualified tutors
12.	PI *	A.3.1.4. The percentage of doctoral thesis advisors who concomitantly coordinate more than 8 doctoral students, but no more than	Fulfilled	I do not have real recommendation here

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI *, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
		12, who are themselves studying in doctoral programs does not exceed 20%.		
13.	CPI	A.3.2.1. At least 50% of the doctoral thesis advisors in the evaluated domain have at least 5 Web of Science- or ERIH-indexed publications in magazines of impact, or other achievements of relevant significance for that domain, including international-level contributions that indicate progress in scientific research - development - innovation for the evaluated domain. The aforementioned doctoral thesis advisors enjoy international awareness within the past five years, consisting of: membership on scientific boards of international publications and conferences; membership on boards of international professional associations; guests in conferences or expert groups working abroad, or membership on doctoral defense commissions at universities abroad or co-leading with universities abroad. For Arts and Sports and Physical Education Sciences, doctoral thesis advisors shall prove their international visibility within the past five years by their membership on the boards of professional associations, membership in organizing committees of arts events and international competitions, membership on juries or umpire teams in artistic events or international competitions.	Fulfilled	Increase number of highly qualified advisors
14.	PI *	A.3.2.2. At least 50% of the doctoral thesis advisors in a specific doctoral study domain continue to be active in their scientific field, and acquire at least 25% of the score requested by the minimal CNATDCU standards in force at the time of the evaluation, which are required and mandatory for acquiring their enabling certificate, based on their scientific results within the past five years	Partially fulfilled	Introduce/invite more advisors
15.	PI *	B.1.1.1. The ratio between the number of graduates of masters' programs of other higher education institutions, national or foreign, who have enrolled for the doctoral admission contest within the past five years and the number of seats funded by the state	Fulfilled	Increase the number of seats funded by the state budget

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI *, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
		budget, put out through contest within the doctoral domain is at least 0.2 or the ratio between the number of candidates within the past five years and the number of seats funded by the state budget put out through contest within the doctoral studies domain is at least 1,2.		
16.	PI *	B.1.2.1. Admission to doctoral study programs is based on selection criteria including: previous academic, research and professional performance, their interest for scientific or arts/sports research, publications in the domain and a proposal for a research subject. Interviewing the candidate is compulsory, as part of the admission procedure.	Partially fulfilled	Extend number of high level candidates
17.	PI	B.1.2.2. The expelling rate, including renouncement / dropping out of doctoral students 3, respectively 4, years after admission does not exceed 30%.	Not fulfilled	Provide higher quality candidates, increase competitiveness
18.	PI	B.2.1.1. The training program based on advanced academic studies includes at least 3 disciplines relevant to the scientific research training of doctoral students; at least one of these disciplines is intended to study in-depth the research methodology and/or the statistical data processing.	Fulfilled	Introduce some discipline in English
19.	PI	B.2.1.2. At least one discipline is dedicated to Ethics and Intellectual Property in scientific research or there are well-defined topics on these subjects within a discipline taught in the doctoral program.	Fulfilled	May be there is a reason to make the discipline more focused on specificity of the studies
20.	PI	B.2.1.3. The IOSUD has mechanisms to ensure that the academic training program based on advanced university studies addresses „the learning outcomes”, specifying the knowledge, skills, responsibility and autonomy that doctoral students should acquire after completing each discipline or through the research activities.	Fulfilled	Orient disciplines taught on the topics of the studies
21.	PI	B.2.1.4. All along the duration of the doctoral training, doctoral students in the domain receive counselling/guidance from functional guidance commissions, which is reflected in	Fulfilled	The students may need more practical advise instead formal

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI *, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
		written guidance and feedback or regular meeting.		
22.	CPI	B.2.1.5. For a doctoral study domain, the ratio between the number of doctoral students and the number of teaching staff/researchers providing doctoral guidance must not exceed 3:1.	Fulfilled	Increase qualification of teaching staff and researchers via habilitation
23.	CPI	B.3.1.1. For the evaluated domain, the evaluation commission will be provided with at least one paper or some other relevant contribution per doctoral student who has obtained a doctor's title within the past 5 years. From this list, the members of the evaluation commission shall randomly select 5 such papers / relevant contributions per doctoral study domain for review. At least 3 selected papers must contain significant original contributions in the respective domain	Fulfilled	<p>1) Optimization of Ultrasonic Extraction to Obtain Erinacine A and Polyphenols with Antioxidant Activity from the Fungal Biomass of <i>Hericium erinaceus</i>, Valu, MV; Soare, LC ; Sutan, NA; Ducu, C; Moga, S; Hritcu, L; Boiangiu, RS; Carradori, S. <i>Foods</i>, 9(12):1889b)</p> <p>2) Effects of the Hydroethanolic Extract of <i>Lycopodium selago</i> L. on Scopolamine-Induced Memory Deficits in Zebrafish, Valu, MV, Ducu, C, Moga, S, Negrea, D, Hritcu, L, Boiangiu, RS, Vamanu, E, Balseanu, TA, Carradori, S, Soare, LC. <i>PHARMACEUTICALS</i>, Volume 14, Issue 6, Article Number 568, DOI10.3390/ph14060568, PubMed ID 34198639, eISSN1424-8247</p> <p>3) <i>Hericium erinaceus</i> (Bull.) Pers. Ethanolic Extract with Antioxidant Properties on Scopolamine-Induced Memory Deficits in a Zebrafish Model of Cognitive Impairment, Valu, MV, Soare, LC, Ducu, C, Moga, S, Negrea, D, Vamanu, E, Balseanu, TA, Carradori, S, Hritcu, L, Boiangiu, RS. <i>JOURNAL OF FUNGI</i>, Volume 7, Issue 6, Article Number 477, DOI 10.3390/jof7060477, PubMed ID 34204787, eISSN 2309-608X</p> <p>4) Lead-Induced Physiological, Biochemical and Enzymatic Changes in <i>Asplenium scolopendrium</i> L., Drăghiceanu O.A., Soare L.C., Fierăscu I., Fierăscu R.-C., Popescu M., Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 100(3):438-44, DOI 10.1007/s00128-018-2274-z.</p> <p>5) Biotechnological recycling of fruit tree wastes through organic cultivation of mushroom species. Tetu, G., 2019. Scientific Bulletin. Series F. Biotechnologies 2019 Vol.23 pp.17-22</p>

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI *, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
24.	PI *	B.3.1.2. The ratio between the number of presentations of doctoral students who completed their doctoral studies within the evaluated period (past 5 years), including posters, exhibitions made at prestigious international events (organized in the country or abroad) and the number of doctoral students who have completed their doctoral studies within the evaluated period (past 5 years) is at least 1.	Fulfilled	Intensify presentation of results of studies at international events with focus on English communication
25.	PI *	B.3.2.1. The number of doctoral theses allocated to one specialist coming from a higher education institution, other than the evaluated IOSUD should not exceed two (2) in a year for the theses coordinated by the same doctoral thesis advisor.	Fulfilled	I have no recommendations here
26.	PI *	B.3.2.2. The ratio between the doctoral theses allocated to one scientific specialist coming from a higher education institution, other than the institution where the defense on the doctoral thesis is organized, and the number of doctoral theses presented in the same doctoral study domain in the doctoral school should not exceed 0.3, considering the past five years. Only those doctoral study domains in which minimum ten doctoral theses have been presented within the past five years should be analyzed.	The indicator is not applicable	No recommendations here
27.	PI	C.1.1.1. The Doctoral school in the respective university study domain shall demonstrate the continuous development of the evaluation process and its internal quality assurance following a procedure developed and applied at the level of the IOSUD, the following assessed criteria being mandatory: a) the scientific work of Doctoral advisors; b) the infrastructure and logistics necessary to carry out the research activity; c) the procedures and subsequent rules based on which doctoral studies are organized; d) the scientific activity of doctoral students; e) the training program based on advanced academic studies of doctoral students; f) social and academic services (including for participation at different events, publishing	Fulfilled	Activate all sides of the scientific activity like joint studies, seminars, invitation of experts from well established research centers which are able to publish in high ranking international journals.

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI *, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
		papers etc.) and counselling made available to doctoral students.		
28.	PI *	C.1.1.2. Mechanisms are implemented during the stage of the doctoral study program to enable feedback from doctoral students allowing to identify their needs, as well as their overall level of satisfaction with the doctoral study program in order to ensure continuous improvement of the academic and administrative processes. Following the analysis of the results, there is evidence that an action plan was drafted and implemented.	Fulfilled	Organise monthly or other periodic seminars where doctoral students will present their results and discuss methodological issues
29.	CPI	C.2.1.1. The IOSUD publishes on the website of the organizing institution, in compliance with the general regulations on data protection, information such as: a) the Doctoral School regulation; b) the admission regulation; c) the doctoral studies contract; d) the study completion regulation including the procedure for the public presentation of the thesis; e) the content of training program based on advanced academic studies; f) the academic and scientific profile, thematic areas/research themes of the Doctoral advisors within the domain, as well as their institutional contact data; g) the list of doctoral students within the domain with necessary information (year of registration; advisor); h) information on the standards for developing the doctoral thesis; i) links to the doctoral theses' summaries to be publicly presented and the date, time, place where they will be presented; this information will be communicated at least twenty days before the presentation.	Fulfilled	Develop English version of the website.
30.	PI	C.2.2.1. All doctoral students have free access to one platform providing academic databases relevant to the doctoral studies domain of their thesis.	Fulfilled	Help students to improve their English
31.	PI	C.2.2.2. Each doctoral student shall have access, upon request, to an electronic system	Fulfilled	Help students to improve their English to enable their possibility to compare their works with international level

No.	Type of indicator (PI, PI *, CPI)	Performance indicator	Judgment	Recommendations
		for verifying the degree of similarity with other existing scientific or artistic works.		
32.	PI	C.2.2.3. All doctoral students have access to scientific research laboratories or other facilities depending on the specific domain/domains within the Doctoral School, according to internal order procedures.	Fulfilled	Organise events to inform students about available technical facilities
33.	PI *	C.3.1.1. IOSUD, for every evaluated domain, has concluded mobility agreements with universities abroad, with research institutes, with companies working in the field of study, aimed at the mobility of doctoral students and academic staff (e.g., ERASMUS agreements for the doctoral studies). At least 35% of the doctoral students have completed a training course abroad or other mobility forms such as attending international scientific conferences. IOSUD drafts and applies policies and measures aiming at increasing the number of doctoral students participating at mobility periods abroad, up to at least 20%, which is the target at the level of the European Higher Education Area.	Not fulfilled	Improve English level to make students to be more brave in communication at international level
34.	PI	C.3.1.2. In the evaluated doctoral study domain, support is granted, including financial support, to the organization of doctoral studies in international co-tutelage or invitation of leading experts to deliver courses/lectures for doctoral students.	Not fulfilled	Internalize the studies, more actively stimulate travels of students or invite internationally recognized tutors to teach some courses in English and plan and/or run joint experiments.
35.	PI	C.3.1.3. The internationalization of activities carried out during the doctoral studies is supported by IOSUD through concrete measures (e.g., by participating in educational fairs to attract international doctoral students; by including international experts in guidance committees or doctoral committees etc.).	Partially fulfilled	Initiate international collaboration maybe via bilateral programs and exchange by students and tutors, invite international experts to organs managing training of doctoral students

The recommendations contained in the report shall be resumed in the indicators' analysis. Other general recommendations may be made that do not fit within a particular indicator.

VERY IMPORTANT!!! – *Each identified weakness must be correlated with at least one recommendation to improve the situation!*

VI. Conclusions and general recommendations

Several important issues raised during the evaluation are resumed and some general conclusions are drawn on the quality of the education provided within the doctoral study domain under review; the Experts' Panel also presents general assessments about the institution. Other general recommendation may also be presented, which cannot be related to a specific indicator and have not been presented at point V.

A decision is proposed, together with the reasons for granting it (if the Experts' Panel members do not reach a consensus, each of them can propose and argue his/her own decision).

The domain under evaluation – Biology – has a number of positive points which have to be developed. It seems that the most problematic points are related to number, motivation and qualification of the teaching staff, as well as with internationalization.

Strong points

1. There is a team with capability to run good science especially in co-operation with other institutions.
2. There is a lot of good modern tools and equipped laboratories.
3. There is some payment for publication cost, travels, consumables and chemicals.
4. There is a good access to Romanian and EU research funding.
5. The needs of the region and Romania for biotechnology, for example, mushroom growing, horticulture.

Weak points

1. Poor website without English version.
2. Poor English level in doctoral students and tutors.
3. Small amount of Ph.D. students especially in comparison with other departments.
4. Not enough funding for biology studies.
5. Scientific directions are very different due to which it is complicated to get high level.
6. Very underused laboratory facilities.

Recommendations

1. Concentrate on several most profitable directions on studies. The teaming here may be a good option.
2. Involve/invite under good acceptable conditions experienced people from other places, especially ones who were born in Pitesti area or other places of Romania. Especially look for the people who currently work abroad and may wish to return home, for example, for retirement or something similar.
3. Make English version of the website.
4. Improve English training.
5. Attract good students from other universities or even abroad with good basic skills and English level in order to provide competition.
6. More actively submit projects to Romanian and international funding bodies.
7. Attract money from the industry.

Proposed decision: Because several indicators are not fulfilled, according to the evaluation guide art. 5.2.

Name Volodymyr Lushchak

Signature

VII. Annexes

Programul⁶ vizitei de evaluare instituțională - IOSUD/domenii de studii universitare de doctorat a
Universității din Pitești

*The timetable of the institutional evaluation visit - IOSUD/doctoral study domains at the **Pitești University***

Perioada de derulare a vizitei: 22.11.2021 - 26.11.2021

The evaluation period: 22.11.2021 - 26.11.2021

Evaluarea Externă Periodică a IOSUD și a domeniilor de studii universitare de doctorat

Periodical External Evaluation of the Institution Organising Doctoral Study Programs (IOSUD), and of the doctoral study domains

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
Luni/Monday, 22.11.2021			
09:00- 10:00	Întâlnire online pentru pregătirea și armonizarea etapelor de evaluare, în modul mixt, la nivel de domenii de doctorat și de IOSUD <i>Online meeting for the preparation and harmonization of evaluation steps, in hybrid mode, of doctoral study domains and IOSUD</i>	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD/domenii <i>IOSUD / domain evaluation panel</i> - toți membrii echipei de evaluare <i>- all evaluation</i>	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom ARACIS <i>Audio-video recording/ARACIS Zoom platform</i> <i>Aracis34@aracis.ro</i> Link: Topic: IOSUD-UPIT Intalnire pregatitoare / Meeting for the preparation Time: Nov 22, 2021 09:00 Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84099708576?pwd=OUJlMmxvTk50VCtuaU05dkJYSCs1Zz09

⁶ În perioada vizitei pot fi solicitate și alte întâlniri, pentru eventuale clarificări.

During the visit, other meetings may be requested for possible clarifications.

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
		<i>panel members</i>	Meeting ID: 840 9970 8576 Passcode: 113385
10:15- 11:15	Întâlnirea online a comisiei de experți evaluatori cu reprezentanții conducerii UPIT și ai CSUD <i>Online meeting with representatives of the UPIT and of the Council for Academic Doctoral Studies (CSUD)</i>	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD/dom enii <i>IOSUD/dom ain evaluation panel</i> - toți membrii echipei de evaluare - <i>all</i> <i>evaluation</i> <i>panel</i> <i>members</i> - reprezentan ți ai conducerii UPIT - <i>representati ves of the University's managemen t</i> - reprezentan ți ai CSUD și	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84153390980?pwd=Y3Rya1Roa29DQ0NZblBGTFdMV25WQT09 Meeting ID: 841 5339 0980 Passcode: 916729

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
		ai Școlii Doctorale UPIT - <i>representati ves of the CSUD and of the UPIT Doctoral School</i> - persoana de contact IOSUD/dom enii <i>- the contact person for IOSUD/doct oral domains</i>	
11:30- 12:30	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>Domeniu⁷:</u> Întâlnire online a comisiei de experți evaluatori cu responsabilul	Comisia de evaluare domeniu <i>Domain evaluation panel</i> - membrii comisiei de experți	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Domeniu/Domain 1. BIOLOGIE/ <i>BIOLOGY</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting

⁷ Pentru toate întâlnirile din program unde se menționează domeniu, se vor organiza, de două ori câte **7** întâlniri în paralel, pentru cele **14** domenii de studii universitare de doctorat din componența IOSUD. *For all the timetable meetings where the domain is mentioned, 7 meetings will be organized in parallel, for two times, for the 14 doctoral university studies domains within IOSUD.*

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	domeniului de studii universitare de doctorat evaluat și cu echipa care a realizat raportul de evaluare internă <i>Domain: Online meeting with the contact person for the doctoral study domain under review and the team who drafted the internal evaluation report</i>	evaluatori domeniu <i>- members of domain evaluation panel</i> - responsabilul domeniului de studii universitare de doctorat evaluat și echipa care a realizat raportul de evaluare internă <i>- the doctoral study domain contact person and the team who drafted the internal evaluation report</i>	https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84495108489?pwd=aWdiWXZlSmFY1IUyWU1MFY1dVFPZz09 Meeting ID: 844 9510 8489 Passcode: 391211 2. FILOLOGIE/ <i>FILOLOGY</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87095455418?pwd=cHBGc2hsN3pjSjQ3WDcrT3NNUmZ5UT09 Meeting ID: 870 9545 5418 Passcode: 250992 3. INFORMATICA/ <i>INFORMATICS</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81992224846?pwd=ZHA4bkxsOW02UUVJVnZtN0Z1S1cxdz09 Meeting ID: 819 9222 4846 Passcode: 044510 4. INGINERIA AUTOVEHICULELOR/ <i>VEHICLE ENGINEERING</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89334114589?pwd=UGZwbmFRbTMrTTM2TkxyNTNTN0k3QT09 Meeting ID: 893 3411 4589 Passcode: 828653 5. INGINERIA MATERIALELOR/ <i>MATERIALS ENGINEERING</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88676670149?pwd=ZU9oT1FjTHVUK1pKdEdJenpveU5rZz09 Meeting ID: 886 7667 0149 Passcode: 681368
12:45- 13:45	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>Domeniu²:</u> Întâlnire online a comisiei de experți evaluatori cu responsabilul domeniului de studii universitare de doctorat evaluat și cu echipa care a realizat raportul de evaluare internă	Comisia de evaluare domeniu <i>Domain evaluation panel</i> - membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori domeniu <i>- members of domain evaluation panel</i>	6. INGINERIE ELECTRONICA, TELECOMUNICATII SI TEHNOLOGII INFORMATIONALE/ <i>ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING, TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85904429256?pwd=bHEyZDFoWmdnNEZPTVZHBHM4Z204QT09 Meeting ID: 859 0442 9256 Passcode: 256168 7. INGINERIE INDUSTRIALA/ <i>INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85093660345?pwd=WFh

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	<i>Domain: Online meeting with the contact person for the doctoral study domain under review and the team who drafted the internal evaluation report</i>	<p>- responsabilul domeniului de studii universitare de doctorat evaluat și echipa care a realizat raportul de evaluare internă</p> <p>- <i>the doctoral study domain contact person and the team who drafted the internal evaluation report</i></p>	<p>mSnJUcEY1UW8xQWRsVFZEaEFZdz09 Meeting ID: 850 9366 0345 Passcode: 838816</p> <p>8. INGINERIE MECANICA/<i>MECHANICAL ENGINEERING</i></p> <p>Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84793239212?pwd=Qng0c2pVcjIOWVV4Z3M4eW1BT1Jjdz09 Meeting ID: 847 9323 9212 Passcode: 546604</p> <p>9. MATEMATICA/<i>MATHEMATICS</i></p> <p>Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82414343986?pwd=Z3d1d2pkbnAxSzBCQVM0SktnRG5XQT09 Meeting ID: 824 1434 3986 Passcode: 469721</p> <p>10. STIINTA SPORTULUI SI EDUCATIEI FIZICE/<i>THE SCIENCE OF SPORTS AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION</i></p> <p>Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89771169304?pwd=a2JZR2g3Zm9NQWpFd3VVdUx2MUcyQT09 Meeting ID: 897 7116 9304</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			Passcode: 432543
13:45- 14:30	<p>Activități de evaluare</p> <p><i>Evaluation activities</i></p> <p><u>IOSUD</u>: Întâlnire online a comisiei de experți evaluatori cu directorul CSUD/directorul Școlii Doctorale din cadrul UPIT supus procesului de evaluare și cu echipa care a realizat raportul de evaluare internă</p> <p><i>IOSUD: Online meeting with the director of CSUD/UPIT Doctoral School director and the team who drafted the internal evaluation report</i></p>	<p>Comisia de evaluare IOSUD</p> <p><i>IOSUD evaluation panel</i></p> <p>- membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori IOSUD</p> <p><i>- members of IOSUD evaluation panel</i></p> <p>- reprezentanți ai CSUD și ai Școlilor Doctorale UPIT/IOSUD</p> <p><i>- representatives of CSUD and of UPIT Doctoral</i></p>	<p>Înregistrare audio-video/platforma Zoom UPIT</p> <p><i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81841003207?pwd=Mnhjd3VKbHRyNitCbWFBcERYZFByZz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 818 4100 3207</p> <p>Passcode: 109157</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
		<i>School/IOSU D</i>	
14:30- 15:30	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>Domeniu:</u> Întâlnire online a comisiei de experți evaluatori cu personalul didactic aferent domeniului evaluat <i>Domain: Online meeting with the academic staff corresponding to the doctoral study domain</i>	Comisia de evaluare domeniu <i>Domain evaluation panel</i> -membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori domeniu <i>- members of domain evaluation panel</i> -cadre didactice cu titlul de conducător de doctorat <i>- Doctoral supervisors</i>	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Domeniu/Domain 1. BIOLOGIE/ <i>BIOLOGY</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83221321687?pwd=dXJN NmVjNTdNUm1STWExL0tjYnA2UT09 Meeting ID: 832 2132 1687 Passcode: 924514 2. FILOLOGIE/ <i>FILOLOGY</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83830242660?pwd=K2lQ dTdITWZVZ3p1dm1qSGJTY3ljZz09 Meeting ID: 838 3024 2660 Passcode: 111855 3. INFORMATICA/ <i>INFORMATICS</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88482062966?pwd=bjJ4TmV1cDI3bjVEZGxQeUIPZEIhQT09 Meeting ID: 884 8206 2966 Passcode: 952064 4. INGINERIA AUTOVEHICULELOR/ <i>VEHICLE ENGINEERING</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82711386376?pwd=VXZoYXdrWTF6WTNqMmZwRHV0UkQ4dz09 Meeting ID: 827 1138 6376 Passcode: 992387 5. INGINERIA MATERIALELOR/ <i>MATERIALS ENGINEERING</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81829502380?pwd=Q0pKN0xURIdFK25xNFJGTllvdnBtZz09 Meeting ID: 818 2950 2380 Passcode: 689567
15:45- 16:45	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> Domeniu: Întâlnire online a	Comisia de evaluare domeniu <i>Domain evaluation panel</i>	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Domeniu/Domain 6. INGINERIE ELECTRONICA, TELECOMUNICATII SI TEHNOLOGII INFORMATIONALE/ <i>ELECTRONIC</i>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	<p>comisiei de experți evaluatori cu personalul didactic aferent domeniului evaluat</p> <p><i>Domain: Online meeting with the academic staff corresponding to the doctoral study domain</i></p>	<p>-membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori domeniu</p> <p><i>- members of domain evaluation panel</i></p> <p>-cadre didactice cu titlul de conducător de doctorat</p> <p><i>- Doctoral supervisors</i></p>	<p><i>ENGINEERING, TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81842930905?pwd=SlZieUlsWlVhZWZlTFN6MjRnbGt2Zz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 818 4293 0905</p> <p>Passcode: 120950</p> <p>7. INGINERIE INDUSTRIALA/<i>INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87212611819?pwd=QzBSLUUs3Rk9XRgdIRmZnSkRvSS9mdz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 872 1261 1819</p> <p>Passcode: 970337</p> <p>8. INGINERIE MECANICA/<i>MECHANICAL ENGINEERING</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89348296998?pwd=Q2plWk14Y3pKbkdkMVVCbVRxYllkZz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 893 4829 6998</p> <p>Passcode: 301356</p> <p>9. MATEMATICA/<i>MATHEMATICS</i></p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			<p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85788073296?pwd=aIV3MHhPNmIPMG1RMkxDODVWNDJxdz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 857 8807 3296</p> <p>Passcode: 027901</p> <p>10. STIINTA SPORTULUI SI EDUCATIEI FIZICE/ <i>THE SCIENCE OF SPOIRTS AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85403117896?pwd=ZFJJe mxqRHM2N0duVDhTRGh1RjJrZz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 854 0311 7896</p> <p>Passcode: 493448</p>
17:00- 18:00	<p>Activități de evaluare</p> <p><i>Evaluation activities</i></p> <p><u>IOSUD:</u> Întâlnire online a comisiei de experți evaluatori cu personalul didactic aferent Școlii Doctorale din IOSUD UPIT</p> <p><i>IOSUD: Online meeting with IOSUD academic</i></p>	<p>Comisia de evaluare IOSUD</p> <p><i>IOSUD evaluation panel</i></p> <p>- membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori IOSUD</p> <p><i>- members of IOSUD evaluation panel</i></p>	<p>Înregistrare audio-video/platforma Zoom UPIT</p> <p><i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83997165915?pwd=aUhhQk9QYnVsQUJhSTZCQlpmY1c3QT09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 839 9716 5915</p> <p>Passcode: 656248</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	<i>staff of UPIT Doctoral School</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - cadre didactice cu titlul de conducător de doctorat - <i>Doctoral supervisors</i> 	
Marti/<i>Tuesday</i>, 23.11.2021			
09:00- 10:00	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>Domeniu:</u> Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la UPIT <u>Domain:</u> <i>Online meeting with UPIT PhD students</i>	Comisia de evaluare domeniu <i>Domain evaluation panel</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori domeniu - <i>members of domain evaluation panel</i> - studenții doctoranzi de la UPIT - <i>UPIT PhD students</i> 	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom ARACIS <i>Audio-video recording/ARACIS Zoom platform</i> Domeniu/<i>Domain</i> 1. BIOLOGIE/ <i>BIOLOGY aracis34@aracis.ro</i> Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students –</i> BIOLOGIE/BIOLOGY Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85337836951?pwd=Q1BXbWZVVVZWRFhWk9hc1VYLy9EUT09 Meeting ID: 853 3783 6951 Passcode: 092337 2. FILOLOGIE/ <i>FILOLOGY aracis35@aracis.ro</i>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			<p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students – FILOLOGIE/FILOLOGY</i></p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87827523655?pwd=ZElaZmxCR3hKWmhWenld1FUdzFodz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 878 2752 3655 Passcode: 636124</p> <p>3. INFORMATICA/<i>INFORMATICS</i> <i>aracis36@aracis.ro</i></p> <p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students – INFORMATICA/INFORMATICS</i></p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89571777633?pwd=L0EvVERaYm8yeGxLamJSTU9NVHh3dz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 895 7177 7633 Passcode: 302139</p> <p>4. INGINERIA AUTOVEHICULELOR/<i>VEHICLE ENGINEERING</i> <i>aracis37@aracis.ro</i></p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			<p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students – INGINERIA AUTOVEHICULELOR</i></p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81562669041?pwd=RHhsRHJvQUpxR0RaS0dOb3JVTFZz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 815 6266 9041</p> <p>Passcode: 795498</p> <p>5. INGINERIA MATERIALELOR/<i>MATERIALS ENGINEERING aracis38@aracis.ro</i></p> <p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students – INGINERIA MATERIALELOR</i></p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81543641884?pwd=ZTBaemNSazFRbmXLNHM0U2NsSTkvQT09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 815 4364 1884</p> <p>Passcode: 357947</p> <p>6. INGINERIE ELECTRONICA, TELECOMUNICATII SI TEHNOLOGII INFORMATIONALE/<i>ELECTRONIC</i></p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			<p>ENGINEERING, TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES</p> <p><i>aracis39@aracis.ro</i></p> <p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students –</i> INGINERIE ELECTRONICA</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85877536705?pwd=cGI0Q25VazkwcFU3OFFhNExNVmINdz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 858 7753 6705</p> <p>Passcode: 994395</p> <p>7. INGINERIE INDUSTRIALA/INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING <i>aracis33@aracis.ro</i></p> <p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students –</i> INGINERIE INDUSTRIALA</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86202195082?pwd=TFY3ZVhqU2JyV1AzM2JWUXBNeEludz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 862 0219 5082</p> <p>Passcode: 007478</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			<p>8. INGINERIE MECANICA/<i>MECHANICAL ENGINEERING aracis32@aracis.ro</i></p> <p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students – INGINERIE MECANICA</i></p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87697461676?pwd=V1hYSE1xUjl2MnVOR2lGeGdDOWxtdz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 876 9746 1676</p> <p>Passcode: 168104</p> <p>9. MATEMATICA/<i>MATHEMATICS aracis31@aracis.ro</i></p> <p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students – IMATEMATICA</i></p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89930151197?pwd=SFZtRXFkL3dkQ1hvWWZmRzZQaEs1QT09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 899 3015 1197</p> <p>Passcode: 243033</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			<p>10. STIINTA SPORTULUI SI EDUCATIEI FIZICE/ <i>THE SCIENCE OF SPOIRTS AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION aracis30@aracis.ro</i></p> <p>Link: Domeniu: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students – STIINTA SPORTULUI SI EDUCATIEI FIZICE</i></p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88278166950?pwd=RXdJV0V6dGpFMGJlK0ltb1JGRXZGdz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 882 7816 6950</p> <p>Passcode: 616079</p>
10:15- 11:15	<p>Activități de evaluare</p> <p><i>Evaluation activities</i></p> <p><u>IOSUD</u>: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la UPIT</p> <p><i>IOSUD: Online meeting with UPIT PhD students</i></p>	<p>Comisia de evaluare IOSUD</p> <p><i>IOSUD evaluation panel</i></p> <p>- membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori IOSUD</p> <p><i>- members of IOSUD evaluation panel</i></p>	<p>Înregistrare audio-video/platforma Zoom ARACIS</p> <p><i>Audio-video recording/ARACIS Zoom platform aracis34@aracis.ro</i></p> <p>Link: IOSUD: Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu studenții doctoranzi de la Universitatea din Pitesti/ <i>Online meeting with Pitesti University PhD students</i></p> <p>Tuesday, November 23· 10:15 – 11:15am</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87484109104?pwd=TFI4L2RScDN4RWVPUmJwSmYzVk85QT09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 874 8410 9104</p> <p>Passcode: 957062</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
		- studenții doctoranzi de la UPIT <i>- UPIT PhD students</i>	
11:30- 12:30	Întâlnire online cu membrii Comisiei de Etică a universității <i>Online meeting with the members of the Ethics Commission</i>	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD/dom enii <i>IOSUD/dom ain evaluation panel</i> - toți membrii echipei de evaluare <i>- all evaluation panel members</i> - membrii Comisiei de Etică <i>- Ethics Commission members</i>	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87407166032?pwd=ZDFY MkZyMWYra21EUUNyNUJWd20yUT09 Meeting ID: 874 0716 6032 Passcode: 986785

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
12:45- 13:45	<p>Activități de evaluare</p> <p><i>Evaluation activities</i></p> <p><u>Domeniu:</u> Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu reprezentanți ai absolvenților domeniului</p> <p><i>Domain: Online meeting with graduates of the respective doctoral study domain</i></p>	<p>Comisia de evaluare domeniu</p> <p><i>Domain evaluation panel</i></p> <p>- membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori domeniu</p> <p><i>- members of domain evaluation panel</i></p> <p>- reprezentan ți ai absolvențilo r UPIT</p> <p><i>- representati ves of UPIT doctoral graduates</i></p>	<p>Domeniu/Domain</p> <p>1. BIOLOGIE/<i>BIOLOGY</i></p> <p>Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85428025705?pwd=N0xnOW51eUhUMzFucEo2cTFLMXdOUT09 Meeting ID: 854 2802 5705 Passcode: 999683</p> <p>2. FILOLOGIE/<i>FILOLOGY</i></p> <p>Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88379239442?pwd=Y3UwajBFexFNEhCL1RXaHdaZ1NkQT09 Meeting ID: 883 7923 9442 Passcode: 614610</p> <p>3. INFORMATICA/<i>INFORMATICS</i></p> <p>Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84228577509?pwd=aUxtdzRvR2FjOU1STFAxc1RPeHIQUT09 Meeting ID: 842 2857 7509 Passcode: 551379</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			<p>4. INGINERIA AUTOVEHICULELOR/<i>VEHICLE ENGINEERING</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84732239286?pwd=UHDNUlA3cHgY3V0cmVYSUpMRzByZz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 847 3223 9286</p> <p>Passcode: 411212</p> <p>5. INGINERIA MATERIALELOR/<i>MATERIALS ENGINEERING</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83259143640?pwd=QVdzQ0hHVGUyRWJQMlVOSXowc0w1UT09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 832 5914 3640</p> <p>Passcode: 858206</p>
14:00- 15:00	<p>Activități de evaluare</p> <p><i>Evaluation activities</i></p> <p><u>Domeniu:</u> Întâlnire online cu directorii/respon sabilii centrelor/laborat oarelor de cercetare aferente domeniului de</p>	<p>Comisia de evaluare domeniu</p> <p><i>Domain evaluation panel</i></p> <p>- membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori domeniu</p> <p><i>- members of domain</i></p>	<p>Înregistrare audio-video/platforma Zoom UPIT</p> <p><i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i></p> <p>Domeniu/Domain</p> <p>1. BIOLOGIE/<i>BIOLOGY</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82392836670?pwd=ZzZYS21NeFU5czJhN0t0OHFrWk9FZz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 823 9283 6670</p> <p>Passcode: 229158</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	studii universitare de doctorat <i>Domain: Online meeting with the Directors/person s in charge of the research centers/laborato ries within the doctoral study domain</i>	<i>evaluation panel</i> - directorii centrelor/la boratoarelor de cercetare <i>directors of research centers/labo ratories</i>	
15:15- 16:15	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>IOSUD</u> : Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu reprezentanți ai absolvenților IOSUD <i>IOSUD: Online meeting with graduates of the respective doctoral study school</i>	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD <i>IOSUD evaluation panel</i> - membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori IOSUD <i>- members of IOSUD evaluation panel</i> - reprezentan ți ai absolvenților	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84320390431?pwd=bXIWNdhPWERTMGxISjYrWGt0Vjd6QT09 Meeting ID: 843 2039 0431 Passcode: 183405

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
		r Universitatii UPIT - <i>representati ves of UPIT University doctoral graduates</i>	
Miercuri/<i>Wednesday</i>, 24.11.2021			
10:30- 18:00	Reuniuni de lucru față în față ⁸ , vizitarea bazei materiale didactice și de cercetare <i>Face-to-face working meetings, visiting the educational and research infrastructure</i>	- directorul de misiune și coordonator ul, studentul doctorand IOSUD, coordonator ii comisiilor de evaluare a domeniilor de doctorat - <i>the Evaluation Director and the coordinator of the IOSUD evaluation</i>	Vizită Universitatea din Pitești <i>Site visit to Pitesti University</i>

⁸ Experții evaluatori la nivelul domeniilor de studii universitare de doctorat pot stabili independent programul vizitei la fața locului, de comun acord cu persoana de contact de la domeniul evaluat și respectând programul întâlnirilor comune cu restul membrilor echipei de evaluare. *The evaluators at doctoral study domain level can independently establish the program of the on-site visit, in agreement with the contact person for the evaluated domain and respecting the schedule of joint meetings with the rest of the evaluation panel members.*

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
		<p><i>panel, PhD student expert IOSUD, the evaluators at doctoral study domain level</i></p> <p>- reprezentan ți ai UPIT</p> <p>- <i>UPIT representati ves</i></p>	
Joi/Thursday, 25.11.2021			
8-17	<p>Reuniuni de lucru față în față⁹, vizitarea bazei materiale didactice și de cercetare</p> <p><i>Face-to-face working meetings, visiting the educational</i></p>	<p>coordonator ii comisiilor de evaluare a domeniilor de doctorat</p> <p>- <i>the evaluators at doctoral study domain level</i></p>	<p>Vizită Universitatea din Pitesti – domeniul Biologie</p> <p><i>Site visit to Pitesti University – domain Biology</i></p>

⁹ Experții evaluatori la nivelul domeniilor de studii universitare de doctorat pot stabili independent programul vizitei la fața locului, de comun acord cu persoana de contact de la domeniul evaluat și respectând programul întâlnirilor comune cu restul membrilor echipei de evaluare. *The evaluators at doctoral study domain level can independently establish the program of the on-site visit, in agreement with the contact person for the evaluated domain and respecting the schedule of joint meetings with the rest of the evaluation panel members.*

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	<i>and research infrastructure</i>	- reprezentan ți ai UPIT - <i>UPIT representati ves</i>	
10.00- 10.45	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>Domeniu:</u> Întâlnire online cu directorii/respon sabilii centrelor/laborat oarelor de cercetare aferente domeniului de studii universitare de doctorat <i>Domain: Online meeting with the Directors/person s in charge of the research centers/laborato ries within the doctoral study domain</i>	Comisia de evaluare domeniu <i>Domain evaluation panel</i> - membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori domeniu <i>- members of domain evaluation panel</i> - directorii centrelor/la boratoarelor de cercetare <i>directors of research centers/labo ratories</i>	2. FILOLOGIE/ <i>FILOLOGY</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86182186334?pwd=cU0xL29hUW5tdDQyNW4wQXZtaXZUQT09 Meeting ID: 861 8218 6334 Passcode: 381040 3. INFORMATICA/ <i>INFORMATICS</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85998022282?pwd=SHNhbUxIMTBxVkcwZVM1eSt5Nk1qQT09 Meeting ID: 859 9802 2282 Passcode: 490792 4. INGINERIA AUTOVEHICULELOR/ <i>VEHICLE ENGINEERING</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86827583669?pwd=dW1zZDIJeUIjZW9Sd0xoRE93cWlYQT09 Meeting ID: 868 2758 3669 Passcode: 219961 5. INGINERIA MATERIALELOR/ <i>MATERIALS ENGINEERING</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89757242595?pwd=Q04zMnA3cUIVcXZtV1hvamJaQ1N2UT09 Meeting ID: 897 5724 2595 Passcode: 650109
11.00- 11.45	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>Domeniu:</u> Întâlnire online cu directorii/respon sabilii centrelor/laborat oarelor de cercetare aferente domeniului de studii universitare de doctorat	Comisia de evaluare domeniu <i>Domain evaluation panel</i> - membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori domeniu <i>- members of domain evaluation panel</i>	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Domeniu/Domain 6. INGINERIE ELECTRONICA, TELECOMUNICATII SI TEHNOLOGII INFORMATIONALE/ <i>ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING, TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86463931408?pwd=RURvZFFqMGFHVXh0aW5pU2hHdm9Rdz09 Meeting ID: 864 6393 1408 Passcode: 871978

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	<i>Domain: Online meeting with the Directors/person s in charge of the research centers/laborato ries within the doctoral study domain</i>	- directorii centrelor/la boratoarelor de cercetare <i>directors of research centers/labo ratories</i>	<p>7. INGINERIE INDUSTRIALA/<i>INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81057696909?pwd=c24vNU5WUnpaYUZJVUZDbFV0eVRhZz09 Meeting ID: 810 5769 6909 Passcode: 649987</p> <p>8. INGINERIE MECANICA/<i>MECHANICAL ENGINEERING</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85187700695?pwd=SFVpcHFERXZBQzBzSE5vUkRwZStOZz09 Meeting ID: 851 8770 0695 Passcode: 025607</p> <p>9. MATEMATICA/<i>MATHEMATICS</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86493759035?pwd=d2VKemh1Ri91OTRQUm1tMEdPQXhWUT09 Meeting ID: 864 9375 9035 Passcode: 492014</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
			<p>10. STIINTA SPORTULUI SI EDUCATIEI FIZICE/ <i>THE SCIENCE OF SPOIRTS AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89678044652?pwd=Q3lrU3pnV3R6RGR0VGpOT2V6dUwyZz09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 896 7804 4652</p> <p>Passcode: 744898</p>
12.00- 13.00	<p>Activități de evaluare</p> <p><i>Evaluation activities</i></p> <p><u>IOSUD</u>: Întâlnire online cu directorii/respon sabilii centrelor/laborat oarelor de cercetare aferente IOSUD</p> <p><i>IOSUD: Online meeting with the Directors/person s in charge of the research centers/laborato ries within IOSUD</i></p>	<p>Comisia de evaluare IOSUD</p> <p><i>IOSUD evaluation panel</i></p> <p>- directorii centrelor/ laboratoarel or de cercetare</p> <p><i>directors of research centers/labo ratories</i></p>	<p>Înregistrare audio-video/platforma Zoom UPIT</p> <p><i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i></p> <p>Link:</p> <p>Join Zoom Meeting</p> <p>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88919787463?pwd=VWxlMVcyRk9RUS9rWW5KTet3MXZvQT09</p> <p>Meeting ID: 889 1978 7463</p> <p>Passcode: 155193</p>

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
13:15- 14:15	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>IOSUD</u> : Întâlnire cu membrii Consiliului Studiilor Universitare de Doctorat al IOSUD <i>IOSUD: Online meeting with Doctoral University Studies Council (CSUD) members</i>	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD <i>IOSUD evaluation panel</i> - membrii comisiei de experți evaluatori IOSUD - membrii CSUD - <i>CSUD's members</i>	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89619341044?pwd=UGNoNVlhdERNeC9iMEtRcS8xbHRIQT09 Meeting ID: 896 1934 1044 Passcode: 485158
15.00- 16.00	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> Întâlnire online cu membrii Comisiei pentru Evaluarea și Asigurarea	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD/ domenii <i>IOSUD and domain evaluation panel</i> - toți membrii	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81399991487?pwd=VHFVQU1TQ09VOEpHTDkveTNxaXk4Zz09 Meeting ID: 813 9999 1487 Passcode: 237252

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	Calității (CEAC)/Departa mentul de asigurare a calității <i>Online meeting with the Commission for Quality Evaluation and Assurance (CEAC) members/Quality Assurance Department</i>	echipei de evaluare - <i>all evaluation panel members</i> - reprezentan ți ai CEAC/Depar tament AC - <i>representati ves of Commission for Quality Evaluation and Assurance (CEAC)/Quali ty Assurance Department</i>	
16:15- 17:15	Activități de evaluare <i>Evaluation activities</i> <u>IOSUD</u> and <u>Domain</u> : Întâlnire online a comisiei de evaluare cu reprezentanți ai	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD/ domenii <i>IOSUD and domain evaluation panel</i> - toți membrii	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88921602219?pwd=dkc0ZFRvSGtlanEzZjdHREpuc2MyUT09 Meeting ID: 889 2160 2219 Passcode: 658221

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
	angajatorilor absolvenților IOSUD UPIT <i><u>IOSUD and Domain:</u> Online meeting with employers of UPIT doctoral graduates</i>	echipei de evaluare - <i>all evaluation panel members</i> - reprezentan ți ai angajatorilor absolvențilo r IOSUD UPIT - <i>employers' representati ves of IOSUD</i> - <i>UPIT doctoral graduates</i>	
Vineri/<i>Friday</i>, 26.11.2021			
10:00- 11:00	Întâlnire online pentru concluzii <i>Online meeting for conclusions</i>	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD/dom enii <i>IOSUD and domain evaluation panel</i> - toți membrii echipei de evaluare	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom ARACS aracis34@aracis.ro <i>Audio-video recording/ARACIS Zoom platform</i> Link: Întâlnire online pentru concluzii/ <i>Online meeting for conclusions</i> Friday, November 26-10:00 – 11:00am Join Zoom Meeting

Interv alul orar/(Bucha rest time)	Activitate/ <i>Activit y</i>	Participanți/ <i>Participants</i>	Observații/Responsabil <i>Comments/Responsible</i>
		- <i>all evaluation panel members</i>	https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89254236388?pwd=ZVJzdzE3NjlXRzlySmtCTXZzUzJUZz09 Meeting ID: 892 5423 6388 Passcode: 968644
12:00- 13:00	Întâlnire finală online în vederea prezentării principalelor constatări rezultate în urma evaluării IOSUD și a recomandărilor de îmbunătățire a calității <i>Meeting with representatives of the institution under review to discuss on the conclusions of the evaluation process and the main reccomandations</i>	Comisia de evaluare IOSUD/ domenii <i>IOSUD and domain evaluation panel</i> - toți membrii echipei de evaluare - <i>all evaluation panel members</i> - reprezentan ții UPIT - <i>UPIT representati ves</i>	Înregistrare audio-video/ platforma Zoom UPIT <i>Audio-video recording/UPIT Zoom platform</i> Link: Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84903801165?pwd=Q05lN081cVp0dUxaTGRjelRyY3Blcz09 Meeting ID: 849 0380 1165 Passcode: 147296

Prof. univ. dr. Nicoleta CORBU

Conf. dr. ing. DUMITRU CHIRLEȘAN



Director de misiune

Rector