

Decision on the Substantive Change Report by Turkish Higher Education Quality Council (THEQC)

Decision of: 2024-04-04

Result: Take note

Report received on: 2024-03-14

Agency registered since: 2022-10-26

Last external review 2022-10-26

report:

Registration until: 2025-04-30

Absented themselves n/a

from decision-making:

Attachments: 1. Substantive Change Report, 2024-03-14

- 1. The Register Committee considered the Substantive Change Report of 2024-03-14.
- [2.] The Register Committee noted that THEQC is introducing a new activity for institutional cross border accreditation International Institutional Accreditation (IIAP). The agency plans to base the methodology on its current ESG aligned standards for Institutional Accreditation Programme and Institutional External Evaluation.
- 2.[3.] The Committee learned that the agency drafted methodology, which could be altered by the time the activity is launched (planned for late 2024). Taking in consideration that the methodology could go through notable changes in the upcoming period, EQAR will only register the activity on DEQAR after being evaluated by an external review panel in its upcoming review.
- 3.[4.] The Committee expects that the new activity will be analysed in full as part of THEQC next renewal of registration in 2024.

Register Committee

3-4 April 2024

Ref. RC42/C119

Ver. 1.0

Date 2024-04-24

Page 1/1

EQAR Substantive Change Report

Reference #	26541963
Status	Complete
Login Username	aysegulkozak@yokak.gov.tr
Login Email	aysegulkozak@yokak.gov.tr
Agency #1	Turkish Higher Education Quality Council
Agency acronym	THEQC
Expiry date #1	30/04/2025
Contact #1	Aysegul Kozak Çakır
Phone #1	+90 312 298 7883
Email #1	aysegulkozak@yokak.gov.tr
Other organisations?	No
A. Has the organisational identity of the registered agency changed?	No
B. Has the organisational structure changed?	No
C. Changes in EQA activities	One or several new external QA activities were introduced

Accreditation Program (IIAP). Under the IIAP, higher education institutions (HEIs) outside Turkiye will undergo evaluation using the same THEQC Evaluation Criteria which were previously covered during THEQC's recent external review by EQAR and ENQA.

The Guides of the newly planned QA activity will be similar to those of IEEP and IAP of THEQC's external evaluation programs, respectively for evaluation of institutions, in terms of governance and quality, learning and teaching, research and development, and service to society based on the THEQC Evaluation Criteria. Steps in evaluation process will be the same with IAP and IEEP which includes writing of SAR by the HEI; the composition of the peer evaluation experts panel; publication of reports and follow up. Consequently, it is not an external evaluation developed from scratch, but it is based on the THEQC's previous experience of evaluating institutions and study programs. The consistency procedures are nevertheless are hoped to be strengthened by the introduction of review coordinator. Minor updates include the wording in the descriptions of the THEQC criteria which...

... will help better understanding for prospective audience.

The IIAP is a planned activity and has not yet been implemented. Therefore its procedural rules, handbooks, and other pertinent documents are currently in the drafting stage. We will be attaching IIAP directive in draft form (since we are still working on it and it has not yet been approved by our council) to give an idea of what the program will look like.

1. New EQA activity:

1	International Institutional Accreditation, IIAP
Focus	study programmes or higher education institutions
ESG 2.1	For Mapping against European Standards and Guidelines Part 1, please see the attached document
ESG 2.2	THEQC did not employed a new methodology for

this planned QA activity. We are planning to adopt the current criteria to IIAP. The experts of the Council were invited to Higher Education Institutions abroad to be familiarized the THEQC Evaluation Criteria and external evaluation processes. After these developments, the university representatives and some NGOs officially requested from THEQC to extent its QA activities to abroad. Considering these inputs, the Council decided to take a step to conduct International Institutional Accreditation. In September 2023, a working group was constituted to work on International Institutional Accreditation. The experts at the Council started working on the applicability of the same criteria to institutions abroad. To develop IIAP's methodology, THEQC's annual status reports, feedbacks from evaluators of the current QA activities of THEQC, reports from observers in the Evaluation Teams, 2024-2028 strategic planning documents, the inputs from Council of Higher Education of Turkiye (CoHE) were utilized. When the documents and procedures are ready, they will be shared with our evaluators and other stakeholders to get their input.

ESG 2.3

ESG 2.4

With the approval of the Council, Evaluation
Teams are selected through the Evaluator
System, including a team leader and some
evaluators in a number appropriate to the
structure and size of the institution by taking into
account sex, nationality, the field of education,
the results of the exams to be conducted after
evaluator training, and surveys and performance
data collected about the evaluators in previous
evaluation periods; the teams are updated when
necessary.

Each Evaluator must complete the evaluator training program before taking a role in teams. Evaluator Training is given to ensure that the members of the Evaluation Teams understand the evaluation criteria and gain the competencies to exhibit the attitudes and behaviours expected from them during the evaluation process. Evaluator candidates must attend the Evaluator Training program again if they have not been included in the IIAP teams within three calendar years after the last Evaluator Training they received, to be eligible for reassignment in evaluation teams.

ESG 2.5

CONSISTENCY IN DRAFTING STAGE:

In the drafting stage the review coordinator checks the draft IIAR (International Institutional Accreditation Report) in terms of completeness, consistency of the text and maturity levels, clarity and language. The feedback of the coordinator is provided to the team before the IIAR is sent to HEIs for their feedback.

CONSISTENCY WORK BY THE COMMISSION
When IIAR is submitted to THEQC by the
evaluation team, The Commission on Institutional

External Evaluation and Accreditation check the consistency of the report to ensure consistency between evaluations conducted in different institutions in the same evaluation period and between years.

ESG 2.6	
1	NA
ESG 2.7	Turkish Higher Education Quality Council
	conducts separate processes for Appeals and
	Complaints. While an appeal can be filed by HEI
	against the refusal of accreditation decision of
	the Council, a complaint can be made by an
	individual or an individual representing the HEI.
	The scope of the complaint can be any
	dissatisfaction with the evaluation processes of
	THEQC. Appeals and complaints are made to
	the Appeals and Complaints Commission within
	the scope of the "Turkish Higher Education
	Quality Council Directive on Appeals and
	Complaints" which is the same procedure with
	IEEP and IAP.
ESG 3.4/ESG 3.6	the same as IAP and IEEP
D. Activity outside the scope of the ESG	No
File #1	mapping_IIAP_against_ESG.docx (112 KB)
File #2	DRAFT_IIAP_DIRECTIVE.docx (36 KB)
Submit form?	I am ready to submit the change report form
Last Update	2024-03-14 14:16:39
Start Time	2024-03-14 11:15:23
Finish Time	2024-03-14 14:16:39
IP	193.140.255.100
Browser	193.140.255.100 Chrome