

Besluit

Besluit strekkende tot het verlenen van accreditatie aan de joint degree-opleiding European Master of Law and Economics van Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam, Universität Hamburg, Università di Bologna, Universiteit Gent, Université Aix-Marseille, Universität Wien, University of Haifa, Szkoła Główna Handlowa w Warszawie en Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research

datum		Gegevens	
16 juli 2013	Naam instelling	:	Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam (coördinator), Universität Hamburg, Università di Bologna, Universiteit Gent, Université Aix-Marseille, Universität Wien, University of Haifa, Szkoła Główna Handlowa w Warszawie en Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research
onderwerp	Naam opleiding	:	European Master of Law and Economics (joint degree)
Besluit accreditatie European Master of Law and Economics van Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam, Universität Hamburg, Universität di Bologna, Universiteit Gent, Université Aix-Marseille, Universität Wien, University of Haifa, Szkoła Główna Handlowa w Warszawie en Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research (001871)	Datum aanvraag	:	24 januari 2013
	Variant opleiding	:	60 EC; voltijd
	Locaties opleiding	:	Rotterdam, Hamburg, Bologna, Gent, Aix-Marseille, Wenen, Haifa, Warschau en Mumbai
	Datum goedkeuren panel	:	12 februari 2013
	Datum locatiebezoek	:	15 maart 2013
	Datum visitatierapport	:	27 mei 2013

uw kenmerk

ons kenmerk

NVAO/20132381/SL

bijlagen

3

Beoordelingskader

Assessment Framework for Joint Programmes van de European Consortium for Accreditation. Dit beoordelingskader bevat tevens overeenkomstige standaarden zoals opgenomen in het beoordelingskader voor de uitgebreide opleidingsbeoordeling van de NVAO (Stcrt. 2010, nr 21523).

Bevindingen

De NVAO stelt vast dat in het visitatierapport deugdelijk en kenbaar is gemotiveerd op welke gronden het panel de kwaliteit van de opleiding excellent heeft bevonden.

Advies van het visitatiepanel

Samenvatting bevindingen en overwegingen van het panel.

The panel considers EMLE a well-established programme which has well-documented its joint programme cooperation. This is exemplified by the comprehensiveness of their cooperation agreement. The programme has a cautious approach to the legal frameworks in which it operates and this is appreciated by the panel. The panel also highly values the self-reflective attitude of the consortium towards the added value of the joint programme.

Pagina 2 van 5 The programme's intended learning outcomes correspond with the overarching qualification frameworks and thus enable graduates to perform the Economic Analysis of Law. They also accommodate the fact that most of the students come in with either a legal or an economic background. EMLE clearly has a tradition of developing and evaluating learning outcomes. The panel lauds the programme's approach to include all stakeholders, not only in continuing its development but also in evaluating its learning outcomes, and to involve the professional field in a structural and coherent manner.

The programme has well-documented its admission and selection procedure, including criteria in which different disciplinary and national backgrounds are accommodated. The curriculum more than appropriately incorporates many different perspectives and clashing disciplinary opinions. The panel appreciates how the programme has matched its credit distribution with an appropriate grading table.

The internal quality assurance system has been found to be well-developed. The panel commendably refers to this system as a "bureaucratic red carpet". The programme is self-critical and self-reflective. It has carefully and increasingly involved its stakeholders in its quality assurance system and uses second markers when assessing students. The programme has a permanent and incremental approach to improvement including a focus on benchmarking.

The joint programme provides facilities and services that purposefully facilitate its students. The programme has a shared approach to provide student support across the locations, from a welcome week to an ombudsman. Access to study material and research articles is harmonised and all provided services are well-documented.

The staff of the EMLE programme are well-known, excellent scholars. They use regulations that ensure the consistent and transparent assessment of students' learning. Since many joint programmes still lack uniformity in their marking, the panel lauds EMLE's use of second, and sometimes even third, marking in addition to the EMLE ECTS Grading Table. The panel read a random, differentiated selection of the master's theses of the last two years. These indeed demonstrate that graduates achieve EMLE's intended learning outcomes.

To conclude, students in this joint programme study a coherent curriculum taught by excellent staff and supported by beneficial services and facilities. These elements enable students to achieve EMLE's intended learning outcomes, which the panel considers to be at master's level and enable performing Economic Analysis of Law. A systematic approach to internal quality assurance contributes demonstrably to a consistent improvement perspective within the consortium. The programme has a transparent and coherent student assessment system, which clearly demonstrates that EMLE students achieve the intended learning outcomes.

Ingevolge het bepaalde in artikel 5a.10, tweede lid, van de WHW heeft de NVAO het college van bestuur van de Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam in de gelegenheid gesteld zijn zienswijze op het voornemen tot besluit van 10 juni 2013 naar voren te brengen. Bij e-mail van 14 juni 2013 stemt de instelling in met het voornemen tot besluit.

De NVAO besluit accreditatie te verlenen aan de joint degree-opleiding European Master of Law and Economics van de Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam, Universität Hamburg, Università di Bologna, Universiteit Gent, Université Aix-Marseille, Universität Wien, University of Haifa, Szkoła Główna Handlowa w Warszawie en Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research te Rotterdam, Hamburg, Bologna, Gent, Aix-Marseille, Wenen, Haifa, Warschau en Mumbai (60 EC; voltijds). De NVAO beoordeelt de kwaliteit van de opleiding als excellent.

Dit besluit treedt in werking op 16 juli 2013 en is van kracht tot en met 15 juli 2019.

Den Haag, 16 juli 2013

De NVAO
Voor deze:



R.P. Zevenbergen
(bestuurder)

Tegen dit besluit kan op grond van het bepaalde in de Algemene wet bestuursrecht door een belanghebbende bezwaar worden gemaakt bij de NVAO. De termijn voor het indienen van bezwaar bedraagt zes weken.

Standard	Criterion	Level of fulfilment
General conditions	1a. Recognition	Excellent
	1b. Cooperation agreement	
	1c. Added value	
Intended learning outcomes	2a. Shared	Excellent
	2b. Level	
	2c. Subject/discipline	
Programme	3a. Admission	Excellent
	3b. Structure	
	3c. Credits	
Internal quality assurance system	4a. Common understanding	Excellent
	4b. Stakeholder involvement	
	4c. Continuous improvement	
Facilities and student support	5a. Facilities	Good
	5b. Support	
	5c. Services	
Teaching and learning	6a. Staff	Excellent
	6b. Assessment of students	
	6c. Achievement	
National components	Flanders	Fulfilled
	Germany	Fulfilled
	Israel	Not fulfilled
	The Netherlands	Excellent
	Poland	Fulfilled

Schematisch overzicht oordelen panel met betrekking tot de Nederlandse component in het Assessment Framework for Joint Programmes

Onderwerp	Beoordeling door het panel
1. Beoogde eindkwalificaties	Excellent
2. Programma	Excellent
3. Personeel	Excellent
4. Voorzieningen	Excellent
5. Kwaliteitszorg	Excellent
6. Toetsing en gerealiseerde eindkwalificaties	Excellent
Eindoordeel	Excellent

Pagina 5 van 5 **Bijlage 2: panelsamenstelling**

- Dr. Nick Harris, voorzitter, former Director Development and Enhancement Group, Quality Assurance Agency (Verenigd Koninkrijk)
- Prof. Dr. Roberto Pardolesi, Director Law & Economics LAB, LUISS Guido Carli (Italië)
- Prof. Jean-Yves Art, Associate General Counsel at Microsoft (België)
- Ieva Baltiņa, student European Studies, University of Latvia (Letland).

Het panel werd ondersteund door Axel Aerden, secretaris (gecertificeerd).